## **REVIEW**

# **Gelsolin: new insights into its roles on gastric cancer dissemination**

Shuo Deng<sup>1,\*</sup>, Mei Shan Ong<sup>1,\*</sup>, Zhi Xuan Ng<sup>1</sup>, Tamilarasi Jegadeesan<sup>1</sup>, Jimmy B.Y. So<sup>2</sup>, Alan Prem Kumar<sup>3,4,5,6,7</sup>, Celestial T.  $Yap^{1,5}$ 

 *Department of Physiology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, 117597, Singapore Department of Surgery, National University Health System, Singapore, 119228, Singapore Cancer Science Institute of Singapore, National University of Singapore, 117599, Singapore Department of Pharmacology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, 117600, Singapore National University Cancer Institute, Singapore, 1192288, Singapore Curtin Medical School, Faculty of Health Science, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia 6845, Australia Department of Biological Sciences, University of North Texas, Denton, TX 76203-5017, USA*

*\* These authors contributed equally to this work* Correspondence: Celestial T. Yap or Shuo Deng E-mail: phsyapc@nus.edu.sg or phsdes@nus.edu.sg Received: September 28, 2016 Published: December 27, 2017

> **Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide, with more than 700,000 cases of death annually. Histopathologically, GC can be classified into two main subtypes, the intestinal and diffuse type GC. These two subtypes differ not only in histological parameters, but also show distinct profiles of gene alterations. In this research highlight, we provide a summary of molecular mechanisms underlying tumor cell behavior in both the intestinal and diffuse type GC, and also highlight our recent findings on the roles of gelsolin, an actin-regulating protein, in GC dissemination. We recently found that gelsolin is differentially expressed in intestinal and diffuse type GC, and uncovered its involvement in the HGF/c-Met oncogenic pathway, which is a frequently activated signaling pathway in GC dissemination. Other roles of gelsolin in cancer development are also discussed, with a focus on its association with oncogenic pathways and gene alterations in cancer metastasis. Our work provides a potential link between gelsolin and pro-invasive pathways in GC, and hence suggests avenues for combating GC dissemination and metastasis with consideration of gelsolin status in tumor.**

*Keywords:* Gastric cancer; Gelsolin; E-cadherin; HGF/c-Met; PI3K; Cancer dissemination; metastasis

**To cite this article:** Shuo Deng, *et al*. Gelsolin: new insights into its roles on gastric cancer dissemination. RNA Dis 2017; 4: e1439. doi: 10.14800/rd.1439.

**Copyright:** © 2017 The Authors. Licensed under a *Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License* which allows users including authors of articles to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format, in addition to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, as long as the author and original properly cited or credited.

#### **Introduction to Gastric Cancer**

Gastric cancer (GC) remains one of the world's most common cancer types, and is more prevalent in men than in women across various countries <sup>[1]</sup>. Although its occurrence has decreased over the years, it is still a leading cause of oncologic deaths, with over 700,000 deaths annually worldwide based on GLOBOCAN in  $2012$  <sup>[1, 2]</sup>. Significantly, *Helicobacter Pylori (H. pylori*) infection, which is a major risk factor of GC, accounts for 70 percent of

GC occurring in developing countries  $^{[3]}$ . The mechanisms of *H. pylori*-induced gastric transformation include the evasion of host defense, enhanced inflammatory and immune responses, activation of signaling pathways such as nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) and Wnt/β-catenin, and perturbation of metal ions [4]. Following sustained infection, non-atrophic gastritis first develops into multifocal atrophic gastritis without metaplasia, then into metaplasia and dysplasia  $[5, 6]$ . Moreover, GC also has a direct correlation with the consumption of tobacco, alcohol and a diet rich in starch but poor in protein quality, fruits and vegetables <sup>[7]</sup>.

Most GC are adenocarcinomas arising from gastric epithelium while other less common types include sarcoma, lymphoma and carcinoids. Common sites of gastric adenocarcinomas include gastric cardia, antrum, and body of the stomach <sup>[8]</sup>. According to Lauren classification, there are two main histologic subtypes of adenocarcinoma: intestinal and diffuse type  $[9]$ . The intestinal type GC displays well-differentiated tubular or glandular structure, and characteristically forms either an ulcerated tumor or an exophytic mass. In contrast, the diffuse type GC presents undifferentiated or poorly differentiated glandular structures with infiltration of neoplastic cells into mucosa or gastric wall.

In general, GC development is associated with multiple genetic alterations  $[10, 11]$  (Table 1), which contribute to genomic instability and hence promote tumorigenesis. These alterations could be classified into mutations in tumor suppressor genes (Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) and  $p53$ <sup>[12, 13]</sup>), oncogenes (c-Myc and K-ras), growth factor signaling (Hepatocyte Growth Factor  $(HGF)$ <sup>[14, 15]</sup> and Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF)<sup>[16]</sup>, cell-cycle regulators (cyclin  $E^{[17]}$ ), cell adhesion and metastatic-related genes (E-cadherin [18] for instance), DNA-repair genes and epigenetic factors  $[19, 20]$ . Among these mutations, several mutations such as p53 and Hepatocyte growth factor/ hepatocyte growth factor receptor  $(HGF/c-MET)$ <sup>[11]</sup> can be commonly found in both intestinal type and diffuse type GC. On the other hand, the two subtypes of GC also exhibit distinct mutations, giving rise to different genetic backgrounds. It is observed that intestinal type GC generally displays molecular signatures represented by enhanced cellular growth whereas in the diffuse type GC, gene clusters of cellular and extracellular matrix (ECM), adhesion, interaction and migration, immune response and several metabolism pathways are observed to be more up-regulated  $[21, 22]$ . In particular, diffuse type GC has shown to be highly associated with the loss of E-cadherin function, arising from CDH1 gene mutations  $^{[20]}$ , while the intestinal type GC is associated with other genetic abnormalities including mutations in APC and β-catenin (CTNNB1)  $^{[21, 23]}$ , a protein that binds to both E-cadherin and APC protein.

Apart from the above mentioned genes, our laboratory has recently discovered that gelsolin, an important actin regulator, is also differentially expressed in these two subtypes of GC  $^{[24]}$ .

### **Gelsolin in cancer**

Gelsolin, and its other family members, are important actin-regulating proteins which regulate actin dynamics and are essential in many biological events such as motility, adhesion, secretion, and cell death  $^{[25]}$ . The conventional role of gelsolin involves the regulation of actin filament turnover via the severing and capping processes, which are further regulated by several secondary messengers such as calcium and phosphatidylinositol 4,5-biphosphate  $(PIP_2)$ <sup>[25]</sup>. In addition, there are accumulating evidences suggesting gelsolin's involvement in pathological conditions including cancer.

In cancer, the expression levels of gelsolin appear to be affected by the types of cancer and cancer cell behavior. Gelsolin has been shown to be down-regulated in several types of cancer, including breast <sup>[26]</sup>, prostate <sup>[27]</sup>, ovarian <sup>[28]</sup>, and bladder cancers [29]. In contrast, parallel studies reported that increased gelsolin levels correlate with aggressive tumor behavior in some types of cancer such as non-small cell lung cancer  $^{[30, 31]}$ , oral cancer  $^{[32]}$ , cervical cancer  $^{[33]}$ , pancreatic cancer  $^{[34]}$ , urothelial tumor  $^{[35]}$ , hepatocellular carcinoma  $^{[36]}$ , and renal cell carcinoma  $^{[37]}$ . In these studies, expression of gelsolin frequently correlates with poor prognosis such as reduced disease-free survival, and aggressive tumor behavior like metastasis and lymphatic invasion. One hypothesis that can explain the discrepancy of gelsolin expression in cancers is that gelsolin is down-regulated at early stages of tumorigenesis, but it is re-expressed as the tumor progresses and its expression contributes to the aggressiveness of cancer. This hypothesis is supported from studies in urothelial carcinoma and oral cancer [32, 35]. However, whether this hypothesis applies to specific types of cancer or is a general phenomenon in cancers still needs to be tested. These contradicting observations of gelsolin expression suggest complex regulation and roles of gelsolin in cancer progression, and the need to investigate its functions in tumors with different genetic backgrounds.

A number of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies have demonstrated that gelsolin is a critical factor in cancer cell migration and invasion, which are crucial steps in metastasis. Knockdown of gelsolin counteracts the invasive capacity of a panel of cancer cell lines including breast <sup>[38]</sup>, cervical <sup>[38]</sup>, pancreatic  $^{[34]}$ , colorectal  $^{[39, 40]}$ , melanoma  $^{[39]}$ , and



#### **Table 1. A brief summary of genetic alterations in diffuse type and intestinal type GC**

^ Include Early and Advanced Gastric Cancer. ( ) Familial Cases Gastric Cancer- Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC) and Familial intestinal gastric cancer (FIGC). \*Aberrant refers to loss of membranous expression, including those with absent, heterogeneous or cytoplasmic staining patterns

hepatocellular carcinoma cells  $[36]$ , while overexpression of gelsolin enhances the migration and invasiveness of many cancer cells  $\left[36, 39, 40\right]$ . Interestingly, we previously found that gelsolin is up-regulated in metastatic variants of HCT116 colorectal cancer cells  $[40]$ , and the distribution of gelsolin

shows a peripheral localization with F-actin in an invasive variant of LS180 colon adenocarcinoma cells in a previous report  $[41]$ , suggesting that the localization and proper function of gelsolin might be important for its invasion-related effects. Due to its pro-invasive roles,

gelsolin can be suppressed by tumor suppressors to counteract metastasis. Such down-regulation of gelsolin has been demonstrated in Nm23-H1-mediated metastatic suppression in breast cancer  $[42]$ . Controversially, there is a study showing that gelsolin could inhibit invasion of bladder cancer cells with activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3) knockdown background  $[43]$ . The reason for such discrepancy is unknown at the moment, but it might be due to different genetic backgrounds of different cancer types.

### **Gelsolin expression in GC subtypes**

In line with the well-established pro-migratory and pro-invasive roles of gelsolin, our recent study reported a crucial role of gelsolin in promoting GC dissemination. Compared to intestinal type GC, we have shown that gelsolin is up-regulated in the diffuse type GC, which is characterized by cancer cell infiltration  $[24]$ . Gelsolin expression is also higher in lymph node metastases compared to their primary intestinal tumors. Our observation suggests that gelsolin is up-regulated in a subset of GC, and contributes to the aggressiveness of cancer, in terms of dissemination and invasion.

## **Gelsolin and E-cadherin in GC**

E-cadherin is a classical Type I cadherin protein and is essential in the maintenance and modulation of intracellular cadherin-mediated cell-cell adhesion, signaling and cytoskeleton organization [44]. E-cadherin has been shown to possess tumor suppressive properties where the repression of E-cadherin expression serves as a key event in initiating<br>epithelial–mesenchymal transition  $(EMT)$ <sup>[45]</sup>. This epithelial–mesenchymal transition  $(EMT)$ <sup>[45]</sup>. This subsequently results in the disruption of the cellular adhesion dynamics and acquisition of a phenotype with increased migratory and invasive capabilities arising from a wide range of transcriptional and functional changes [46]. In GC, loss of E-cadherin function has been reported especially in diffuse-type GC, and the loss of E-cadherin has been associated with poorer differentiation, increased motility, invasion and metastatic potential  $[47-49]$ . This loss of E-cadherin can be attributed to epigenetic and genetic modifications including promoter methylation of CDH1 gene  $[50]$ , loss of heterozygosity (LOH)  $[51]$ , inactivating germline and somatic mutations  $[18, 52]$ . Besides genetic alterations, alternative mechanisms can inhibit E-cadherin activity in cancer by reducing E-cadherin expression through processes like increased endocytosis <sup>[53]</sup>, proteolytic processing of E-cadherin  $[54]$ , and activation of its transcriptional repressors [55]. As summarized (Table 1), the alteration of the E-cadherin gene is observed in about one third of GC, while the aberrant function or expression of E-cadherin have been reported in the majority of diffuse type GC. Therefore, non-genetic regulation could play an important role in E-cadherin expression and function in GC.

In our study, we identified an alternative pathway leading to E-cadherin repression, which involves gelsolin and its downstream pathways. We reported that gelsolin expression correlated negatively with wild-type E-cadherin in three cohorts of GC patients, and was shown to suppress the expression of E-cadherin at both mRNA and protein levels in a panel of human GC cell lines. Concurrently, several E-cadherin transcriptional repressors, including Snail, Twist1, and Zeb2, are up-regulated by gelsolin, which are consistent with the decreased levels of E-cadherin observed. Changes in mRNA levels of E-cadherin and its repressors were also observed in our study upon the knockdown of gelsolin in GC.

Our observations on transcriptional changes after altering gelsolin levels suggest that gelsolin might have the ability to regulate mRNA changes and possibly gene transcription. Although it has not been reported to have DNA binding sites, gelsolin has been observed to be a transcriptional co-activator and participate in the regulation of gene expression  $[56, 57]$ . Gelsolin was shown to interact with androgen receptor (AR), which leads to the nuclear translocation and enhanced transcriptional activity of  $AR$  [56]. Likewise, gelsolin has also been shown to interact with thyroid hormone receptor β and Hypoxia-inducible-factor-1 $α$ (HIF-1 $\alpha$ ), which might lead to the regulation of their transcriptional activities  $\begin{bmatrix} 57, 58 \end{bmatrix}$ . More recently, gelsolin was suggested to be a crucial factor in mediating the assembly and/or stability of estrogen receptor β complexes in the nucleus <sup>[59]</sup>. These findings suggest gelsolin act as a transcriptional co-activator of several transcription factors. In support of this, there has been mounting evidences showing that modulation of gelsolin levels leads to alterations in the mRNA levels of several genes, such as urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) <sup>[40]</sup>. Although changes in mRNA levels of E-cadherin and several genes were observed after silencing gelsolin in GC, the mechanisms of how gelsolin regulates these changes are still unknown at the moment.

Furthermore, as E-cadherin is a master regulator of EMT as mentioned previously, our findings also suggest that gelsolin might play a role in EMT in GC. The influence of geslolin on EMT has been suggested in other types of cancer. In cervical cancer, knockdown of gelsolin up-regulated epithelial markers such as E-cadherin while down-regulating mesenchymal markers such as vimentin, and ECM-degrading enzymes including matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 and -9

 $^{[33]}$ . The suppressive effect of gelsolin on E-cadherin expression has also been observed in cardiomyocytes [60]. More recently, gelsolin is suggested to play a role in Transforming Growth Factor (TGF)-β1 induced EMT changes  $[61]$ , where gelsolin is found to be epigenetically up-regulated upon TGF-β1 treatment in breast cancer cells, together with decreased epithelial marker E-cadherin and increased mesenchymal markers including N-cadherin and vimentin. Overexpression of gelsolin effectively increases vimentin while knockdown of gelsolin reverses this effect.

Together with these evidences, our study points out the possible involvement of gelsolin in EMT in GC, which could eventually contribute to metastasis. Moreover, as mentioned above, there are evidences suggesting that gelsolin could act as a transcriptional co-factor of AR and hence, it is possible that gelsolin may also act in similar ways to be co-activators of transcription factors regulating E-cadherin and EMT-related gene expression. Future studies may be conducted to address the potential roles of gelsolin as a transcriptional regulator to promote GC dissemination.

#### **Gelsolin and HGF/c-MET pathway signaling in GC**

The HGF/c-MET pathway has been shown to be essential in facilitating cancer progression in GC as well as other types of cancer, whereby the aberrant activation of c-MET can promote tumor growth and increase both invasiveness and metastatic potential through several downstream signaling pathways. These changes include activation of Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), mitogen-activated protein kinase/ extracellular signal– regulated kinase (MAPK/ERK), phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase/protein kinase B (PI3K/Akt), and HIF-1 $\alpha$ -induced activity [62-65]. Furthermore, HGF signaling was also shown to modulate functional E-cadherin, a master regulator of EMT, possibly contributing to the increased invasive capabilities of GC  $^{[66]}$ . In GC, hyperactivation of HGF/c-MET can be attributed to the genetic amplification of the proto-oncogene c-MET and the increase in both autocrine and paracrine secretion of HGF



**Figure 1. A summary of gelsolin in cancer invasion and metastasis.**

by stroma cells in tumor microenvironment [67-69]. Downstream activation of these signaling networks can result in increases in cellular proliferation and survival, motility and scattering, matrix degradation and infiltration of tissues, and stimulation of angiogenesis  $[62, 63, 70]$ , thereby contributing to HGF-mediated tumor progression and EMT.

Our recent study has identified that gelsolin modulates HGF/c-MET activation and its downstream effects. We have shown that HGF treatment increases expression levels of gelsolin, and that gelsolin modulates the HGF-induced scattering of GC cells, PI3K/Akt pathway activation, and subsequent gene expression. Our study has revealed a novel

role of gelsolin in mediating HGF/c-MET-induced scattering. In line with our observations, villin, a gelsolin family member, has also been suggested to modulate HGF-induced scattering <sup>[71]</sup>. Previous reports have also identified the roles of gelsolin in modulating cellular responses and downstream effects of other secreted growth factors, including neuroendocrine factor in neurotensin-induced invasion of prostate cancer cell and EGF in EGF-induced motility and invasion [72-74]. Taken together, our observations and other studies highlight the role of gelsolin in invasion triggered by growth factors. It might therefore be important to consider gelsolin status in HGF/c-MET targeted therapy and probably other therapies targeting growth factors which could be influenced by gelsolin.

#### **Gelsolin and PI3K/Akt pathway in GC**

PI3K/Akt pathway, which can be activated downstream of HGF/c-MET and other growth receptors, is critical for tumorigenesis, survival and metastasis in  $GC$   $^{[75]}$  where the increase in PI3K/Akt activity enhances metastasis and correlates with poorer prognosis of GC patients. The increased PI3K/Akt activity can be attributed to the amplification of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase, catalytic subunit alpha (PIK3CA)  $^{[76, 77]}$ , elevated level of Akt/p-Akt  $^{[78, 79]}$ , as well as genetic and epigenetic alterations of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN)  $^{[80]}$ . Targeted inhibition of PI3K/Akt, such as through small molecules, has been shown to result in the inhibition of proliferative and metastatic capabilities of GC  $^{[81]}$ .

Our study also reveals the involvement of gelsolin in activating the PI3K/Akt pathway to enhance HGF-induced E-cadherin repression and up-regulation of its repressors. Using proximity ligation assay, we have uncovered that gelsolin could interact with PI3K, which might contribute to its effect on PI3K/Akt activation. Consistent with our findings, gelsolin has been found to be a binding partner of PI3K and other members of podosome signaling complex [82]. The interaction between gelsolin and PI3K could lead to enhanced activity of PI3K  $\int_{83}^{83}$ , highlighting the role of gelsolin in recruitment and activation of signaling molecules. Furthermore, gelsolin-mediated invasion is dependent on Ras-PI3K-Rac signaling [84]. Taking together, the above mentioned studies suggest a potential involvement of gelsolin in PI3K oncogenic signaling pathway and its importance in gastric carcinogenesis and metastasis.

## **Conclusion**

In conclusion, GC is characterized by multiple genetic alterations, including mutations and activation of oncogenic pathways like HGF/c-MET and PI3K/Akt. One of the consequences of those alterations is enhanced or acquired capacity of GC cells to invade and metastasize. Gelsolin has been shown to regulate invasion and metastasis in several types of cancer, which involves the alteration of signaling pathways and gene expression (Figure 1). Recent findings from our laboratory have shown that gelsolin modulates the HGF/c-MET response and PI3K/Akt activation, leading to suppression of E-cadherin, which in turn promotes the dissemination and metastasis of GC. Our observation provides a potential link between gelsolin and pro-invasive pathways, and hence a more in-depth look into gelsolin expression status in gastric cancer might provide another avenue for combating GC dissemination and metastasis.

#### **Conflicting interests**

The authors have declared that no conflict of interests exist.

### **Acknowledgments**

This work was funded by the National Medical Research Council (NMRC) and Academic Research Fund (R-185-000-237-112) from the Ministry of Education (MOE) of Singapore to CTY. APK was supported by grants from National Medical Research Council of Singapore, the NCIS Yong Siew Yoon Research Grant through donations from the Yong Loo Lin Trust and the National Research Foundation Singapore and the Singapore Ministry of Education under its Research Centers of Excellence initiative to Cancer Science Institute of Singapore, National University of Singapore.

#### **Author contributions**

All authors (S.D., M.S.O., Z.X.N., T.J., J.B.Y.S., A.P.K., and C.T.Y.) contributed to the writing of the paper and revision of the manuscript.

#### **References**

- 1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 2015; 65: 87-108.
- 2. Thiel A, Ristimäki A. Gastric cancer: basic aspects. Helicobacter 2012; 17: 26-29.
- 3. Rahman R, Asombang AW, Ibdah JA. Characteristics of gastric cancer in Asia. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 4483-4490.
- 4. Yang Z-M, Chen W-W, Wang Y-F. Gene expression profiling in gastric mucosa from Helicobacter pylori-infected and uninfected patients undergoing chronic superficial gastritis. PloS one 2012; 7: e33030.
- 5. Correa P, Haenszel W, Cuello C, Tannenbaum S, Archer M. A

model for gastric cancer epidemiology. The Lancet 1975; 306: 58-60.

- 6. Correa P, Piazuelo MB. The gastric precancerous cascade. Journal of digestive diseases 2012; 13: 2-9.
- 7. Carcas LP. Gastric cancer review. Journal of carcinogenesis 2014; 13: 14.
- 8. Kumar RK SE, Ganapathy E, Raj SS, Ebrahim AS, Farooq SM,, Gastric Carcinoma: A review on epidemiology, current surgical & chemotherapeutic options., in Gastric Carcinoma - New Insights into Current Management. 2013, InTech.
- 9. Lauren P. The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma, an attempt at a histoclinical classification. Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand. 1965; 64: 31-49.
- 10. Werner M, Becker KF, Keller G, Höfler H. Gastric adenocarcinoma: pathomorphology and molecular pathology. Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology 2001; 127: 207-216.
- 11. Smith MG, Hold GL, Tahara E, El-Omar EM. Cellular and molecular aspects of gastric cancer. World Journal of Gastroenterology 2006; 12: 2979-2990.
- 12. Kim J-H, Takahashi T, Chiba I, Park J-G, Birrer MJ, Roh JK, *et al*. Occurrence of p53 gene abnormalities in gastric carcinoma tumors and cells lines. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 1991; 83: 938-943.
- 13. Kakeji Y, Korenaga D, Tsujitani S, Baba H, Anai H, Maehara Y, *et al*. Gastric cancer with p53 overexpression has high potential for metastasising to lymph nodes. British journal of cancer 1993; 67: 589-593.
- 14. Kuniyasu H, Yasui W, Kitadai Y, Yokozaki H, Ito H, Tahara E. Frequent amplification of the c-met gene in scirrhous type stomach cancer. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 1992; 189: 227-232.
- 15. Ha SY, Lee J, Kang SY, Do I-G, Ahn S, Park JO, *et al*. MET overexpression assessed by new interpretation method predicts gene amplification and poor survival in advanced gastric carcinomas. Modern Pathology 2013; 26: 1632-1641.
- 16. Tsugawa K, Yonemura Y, Hirono Y, Fushida S, Kaji M, Miwa K, *et al*. Amplification of the c-met, c-erbB-2 and epidermal growth factor receptor gene in human gastric cancers: correlation to clinical features. Oncology 1998; 55: 475-481.
- 17. Akama Y, Yasui W, Yokozaki H, Kuniyasu H, Kitahara K, Ishikawa T, *et al*. Frequent amplification of the cyclin E gene in human gastric carcinomas. Japanese journal of cancer research 1995; 86: 617-621.
- 18. Guilford P, Hopkins J, Harraway J, McLeod M, McLeod N, Harawira P, *et al*. E-cadherin germline mutations in familial gastric cancer. Nature 1998; 392: 402-405.
- 19. In-Seon C, Tsung-Teh W. Epigenetic alterations in gastric carcinogenesis. Cell research 2005; 15: 247-254.
- 20. Milne AN, Carneiro F, O'morain C, Offerhaus G. Nature meets nurture: molecular genetics of gastric cancer. Human genetics

2009; 126: 615-628.

- 21. Hasegawa S, Furukawa Y, Li M, Satoh S, Kato T, Watanabe T, *et al*. Genome-wide analysis of gene expression in intestinal-type gastric cancers using a complementary DNA microarray representing 23,040 genes. Cancer research 2002; 62: 7012-7017.
- 22. Jinawath N, Furukawa Y, Hasegawa S, Li M, Tsunoda T, Satoh S, *et al*. Comparison of gene-expression profiles between diffuse-and intestinal-type gastric cancers using a genome-wide cDNA microarray. Oncogene 2004; 23: 6830-6844.
- 23. Park WS, Oh RR, Park JY, Lee SH, Shin MS, Kim YS, *et al*. Frequent somatic mutations of the β-catenin gene in intestinal-type gastric cancer. Cancer research 1999; 59: 4257-4260.
- 24. Huang B, Deng S, Loo SY, Datta A, Yap YL, Yan B, *et al*. Gelsolin-mediated activation of PI3K/Akt pathway is crucial for hepatocyte growth factor-induced cell scattering in gastric carcinoma. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 25391-25407.
- 25. Li GH, Arora PD, Chen Y, McCulloch CA, Liu P. Multifunctional roles of gelsolin in health and diseases. Med Res Rev 2012; 32: 999-1025.
- 26. Dong Y, Asch HL, Ying A, Asch BB. Molecular mechanism of transcriptional repression of gelsolin in human breast cancer cells. Exp Cell Res 2002; 276: 328-336.
- 27. Lee HK, Driscoll D, Asch H, Asch B, Zhang PJ. Downregulated gelsolin expression in hyperplastic and neoplastic lesions of the prostate. Prostate 1999; 40: 14-19.
- 28. Noske A, Denkert C, Schober H, Sers C, Zhumabayeva B, Weichert W, *et al*. Loss of Gelsolin expression in human ovarian carcinomas. Eur J Cancer 2005; 41: 461-469.
- 29. Tanaka M, Mullauer L, Ogiso Y, Fujita H, Moriya S, Furuuchi K, *et al*. Gelsolin: a candidate for suppressor of human bladder cancer. Cancer Res 1995; 55: 3228-3232.
- 30. Yang J, Tan D, Asch HL, Swede H, Bepler G, Geradts J, *et al*. Prognostic significance of gelsolin expression level and variability in non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2004; 46: 29-42.
- 31. Yang J, Ramnath N, Moysich KB, Asch HL, Swede H, Alrawi SJ, *et al*. Prognostic significance of MCM2, Ki-67 and gelsolin in non-small cell lung cancer. BMC Cancer 2006; 6: 203.
- 32. Shieh DB, Chen IW, Wei TY, Shao CY, Chang HJ, Chung CH, *et al*. Tissue expression of gelsolin in oral carcinogenesis progression and its clinicopathological implications. Oral Oncol 2006; 42: 599-606.
- 33. Liao CJ, Wu TI, Huang YH, Chang TC, Wang CS, Tsai MM, *et al*. Overexpression of gelsolin in human cervical carcinoma and its clinicopathological significance. Gynecol Oncol 2011; 120: 135-144.
- 34. Thompson CC, Ashcroft FJ, Patel S, Saraga G, Vimalachandran D, Prime W, *et al*. Pancreatic cancer cells overexpress gelsolin family-capping proteins, which contribute to their cell motility. Gut 2007; 56: 95-106.
- 35. Rao J, Seligson D, Visapaa H, Horvath S, Eeva M, Michel K, *et al*. Tissue microarray analysis of cytoskeletal actin-associated

biomarkers gelsolin and E-cadherin in urothelial carcinoma. Cancer 2002; 95: 1247-1257.

- 36. Deng B, Fang J, Zhang X, Qu L, Cao Z, Wang B. Role of gelsolin in cell proliferation and invasion of human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Gene 2015; 571: 292-297.
- 37. Kankaya D, Kiremitci S, Tulunay O, Baltaci S. Gelsolin, NF-kappaB, and p53 expression in clear cell renal cell carcinoma: Impact on outcome. Pathol Res Pract 2015; 211: 505-512.
- 38. Van den Abbeele A, De Corte V, Van Impe K, Bruyneel E, Boucherie C, Bracke M, *et al*. Downregulation of gelsolin family proteins counteracts cancer cell invasion in vitro. Cancer Lett 2007; 255: 57-70.
- 39. Litwin M, Nowak D, Mazur AJ, Baczynska D, Mannherz HG, Malicka-Blaszkiewicz M. Gelsolin affects the migratory ability of human colon adenocarcinoma and melanoma cells. Life Sci 2012; 90: 851-861.
- 40. Zhuo J, Tan EH, Yan B, Tochhawng L, Jayapal M, Koh S, *et al*. Gelsolin induces colorectal tumor cell invasion via modulation of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator cascade. PLoS One 2012; 7: e43594.
- 41. Litwin M, Mazur AJ, Nowak D, Mannherz HG, Malicka-Blaszkiewicz M. Gelsolin in human colon adenocarcinoma cells with different metastatic potential. Acta Biochim Pol 2009; 56: 739-743.
- 42. Marino N, Marshall JC, Collins JW, Zhou M, Qian Y, Veenstra T, *et al*. Nm23-h1 binds to gelsolin and inactivates its actin-severing capacity to promote tumor cell motility and metastasis. Cancer Res 2013; 73: 5949-5962.
- 43. Yuan X, Yu L, Li J, Xie G, Rong T, Zhang L, *et al*. ATF3 suppresses metastasis of bladder cancer by regulating gelsolin-mediated remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton. Cancer Res 2013; 73: 3625-3637.
- 44. Cavallaro U, Dejana E. Adhesion molecule signalling: not always a sticky business. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 2011; 12: 189-197.
- 45. Kalluri R, Weinberg RA. The basics of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. The Journal of clinical investigation 2009; 119: 1420-1428.
- 46. Canel M, Serrels A, Frame MC, Brunton VG. E-cadherin–integrin crosstalk in cancer invasion and metastasis. J Cell Sci 2013; 126: 393-401.
- 47. Chen H-C, Chu RY, Hsu P-N, Hsu P-I, Lu J-Y, Lai K-H, *et al*. Loss of E-cadherin expression correlates with poor differentiation and invasion into adjacent organs in gastric adenocarcinomas. Cancer letters 2003; 201: 97-106.
- 48. Onder TT, Gupta PB, Mani SA, Yang J, Lander ES, Weinberg RA. Loss of E-cadherin promotes metastasis via multiple downstream transcriptional pathways. Cancer research 2008; 68: 3645-3654.
- 49. Yonemura Y, Endou Y, Kimura K, Fushida S, Bandou E, Taniguchi K, *et al*. Inverse expression of S100A4 and E-cadherin is associated with metastatic potential in gastric cancer. Clinical

Cancer Research 2000; 6: 4234-4242.

- 50. Tamura G, Yin J, Wang S, Fleisher AS, Zou T, Abraham JM, *et al*. E-Cadherin gene promoter hypermethylation in primary human gastric carcinomas. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2000; 92: 569-573.
- 51. Van Roy F, Berx G. The cell-cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin. Cellular and molecular life sciences 2008; 65: 3756-3788.
- 52. Lee YS, Cho YS, Lee GK, Lee S, Kim YW, Jho S, *et al*. Genomic profile analysis of diffuse-type gastric cancers. Genome biology 2014; 15: R55.
- 53. Fujita Y, Krause G, Scheffner M, Zechner D, Leddy HEM, Behrens J, *et al*. Hakai, a c-Cbl-like protein, ubiquitinates and induces endocytosis of the E-cadherin complex. Nature cell biology 2002; 4: 222-231.
- 54. Shen Y, Hirsch DS, Sasiela CA, Wu WJ. Cdc42 regulates E-cadherin ubiquitination and degradation through an epidermal growth factor receptor to Src-mediated pathway. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2008; 283: 5127-5137.
- 55. Cano A, Pérez-Moreno MA, Rodrigo I, Locascio A, Blanco MJ, del Barrio MG, *et al*. The transcription factor snail controls epithelial–mesenchymal transitions by repressing E-cadherin expression. Nature cell biology 2000; 2: 76-83.
- 56. Nishimura K, Ting HJ, Harada Y, Tokizane T, Nonomura N, Kang HY, *et al*. Modulation of androgen receptor transactivation by gelsolin: a newly identified androgen receptor coregulator. Cancer Res 2003; 63: 4888-4894.
- 57. Li GH, Shi Y, Chen Y, Sun M, Sader S, Maekawa Y, *et al*. Gelsolin regulates cardiac remodeling after myocardial infarction through DNase I-mediated apoptosis. Circ Res 2009; 104: 896-904.
- 58. Kim CS, Furuya F, Ying H, Kato Y, Hanover JA, Cheng SY. Gelsolin: a novel thyroid hormone receptor-beta interacting protein that modulates tumor progression in a mouse model of follicular thyroid cancer. Endocrinology 2007; 148: 1306-1312.
- 59. Ambrosino C, Tarallo R, Bamundo A, Cuomo D, Franci G, Nassa G, *et al*. Identification of a hormone-regulated dynamic nuclear actin network associated with estrogen receptor alpha in human breast cancer cell nuclei. Mol Cell Proteomics 2010; 9: 1352-1367.
- 60. Hu WS, Ho TJ, Pai P, Chung LC, Kuo CH, Chang SH, *et al*. Gelsolin (GSN) induces cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and BNP expression via p38 signaling and GATA-4 transcriptional factor activation. Mol Cell Biochem 2014; 390: 263-270.
- 61. Chen ZY, Wang PW, Shieh DB, Chiu KY, Liou YM. Involvement of gelsolin in TGF-beta 1 induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition in breast cancer cells. J Biomed Sci 2015; 22: 90.
- 62. Sung I-C, Huang K-H, Sung M-T, Chi C-W. The HGF/c-Met/COX-2 and Jagged1/Notch1/COX-2 Pathways as Molecular Targets for Gastric Cancer Treatment. Journal of Cancer Research and Practice 2014; 1: 93-102.
- 63. Cheng N, Chytil A, Shyr Y, Joly A, Moses HL. TGF-β signaling deficient fibroblasts enhance Hepatocyte Growth Factor signaling in mammary carcinoma cells to promote scattering and invasion. Molecular cancer research: MCR 2008; 6: 1521.
- 64. Ide T, Kitajima Y, Miyoshi A, Ohtsuka T, Mitsuno M, Ohtaka K, *et al*. Tumor–stromal cell interaction under hypoxia increases the

invasiveness of pancreatic cancer cells through the hepatocyte growth factor/ $c$  - Met pathway. International journal of cancer 2006; 119: 2750-2759.

- 65. Ogunwobi OO, Liu C. Hepatocyte growth factor upregulation promotes carcinogenesis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in hepatocellular carcinoma via Akt and COX-2 pathways. Clinical & experimental metastasis 2011; 28: 721-731.
- 66. Abedini MR, Wang PW, Huang YF, Cao M, Chou CY, Shieh DB, *et al*. Cell fate regulation by gelsolin in human gynecologic cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014; 111: 14442-14447.
- 67. Jiang W, Hiscox S, Matsumoto K, Nakamura T. Hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor, its molecular, cellular and clinical implications in cancer. Critical reviews in oncology/hematology 1999; 29: 209-248.
- 68. Han S-U, Lee H-Y, Lee J-H, Kim W-H, Nam H, Kim H, *et al*. Modulation of E-cadherin by hepatocyte growth factor induces aggressiveness of gastric carcinoma. Annals of surgery 2005; 242: 676-683.
- 69. Nagatsuma AK, Aizawa M, Kuwata T, Doi T, Ohtsu A, Fujii H, *et al*. Expression profiles of HER2, EGFR, MET and FGFR2 in a large cohort of patients with gastric adenocarcinoma. Gastric Cancer 2015; 18: 227-238.
- 70. Blumenschein GR, Mills GB, Gonzalez-Angulo AM. Targeting the hepatocyte growth factor–cMET axis in cancer therapy. Journal of clinical oncology 2012; 30: 3287-3296.
- 71. Athman R, Louvard D, Robine S. Villin enhances hepatocyte growth factor-induced actin cytoskeleton remodeling in epithelial cells. Mol Biol Cell 2003; 14: 4641-4653.
- 72. Hashimoto K, Kyoda Y, Tanaka T, Maeda T, Kobayashi K, Uchida K, *et al*. The potential of neurotensin secreted from neuroendocrine tumor cells to promote gelsolin-mediated invasiveness of prostate adenocarcinoma cells. Lab Invest 2015; 95: 283-295.
- 73. Chen P, Murphy-Ullrich JE, Wells A. A role for gelsolin in actuating epidermal growth factor receptor-mediated cell motility. J Cell Biol 1996; 134: 689-698.
- 74. Lader AS, Lee JJ, Cicchetti G, Kwiatkowski DJ. Mechanisms of gelsolin-dependent and -independent EGF-stimulated cell motility in a human lung epithelial cell line. Exp Cell Res 2005; 307: 153-163.
- 75. Matsuoka T, Yashiro M. The Role of PI3K/Akt/mTOR Signaling in Gastric Carcinoma. Cancers (Basel) 2014; 6: 1441-1463.
- 76. Liu JF, Zhou XK, Chen JH, Yi G, Chen HG, Ba MC, *et al*. Up-regulation of PIK3CA promotes metastasis in gastric carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2010; 16: 4986-4991.
- 77. Shi J, Yao D, Liu W, Wang N, Lv H, Zhang G, *et al*. Highly frequent PIK3CA amplification is associated with poor prognosis in gastric cancer. BMC cancer 2012; 12: 50.
- 78. Kobayashi I, Semba S, Matsuda Y, Kuroda Y, Yokozaki H. Significance of Akt phosphorylation on tumor growth and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in human gastric carcinoma. Pathobiology 2006; 73: 8-17.
- 79. Nam SY, Lee HS, JUNG GA, Choi J, Cho SJ, Kim MK, *et al*. Akt/PKB activation in gastric carcinomas correlates with clinicopathologic variables and prognosis. Apmis 2003; 111: 1105-1113.
- 80. Ying J, Xu Q, Liu B, Zhang G, Chen L, Pan H. The expression of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in gastric cancer and its role in gastric cancer prognosis. OncoTargets and therapy 2015; 8: 2427.
- 81. Ye B, Jiang L, Xu H, Zhou D, Li Z. Exression and PI3K/AKT Pathway in Gastric Cancer and its Blockade Suppresses Tumor Growth and Metastasis. International journal of immunopathology and pharmacology 2012; 25: 627-636.
- 82. Chellaiah MA, Biswas RS, Yuen D, Alvarez UM, Hruska KA. Phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate directs association of Src homology 2-containing signaling proteins with gelsolin. J Biol Chem 2001; 276: 47434-47444.
- 83. Singh SS, Chauhan A, Murakami N, Chauhan VP. Profilin and gelsolin stimulate phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity. Biochemistry 1996; 35(51): 16544-16549.
- 84. De Corte V, Bruyneel E, Boucherie C, Mareel M, Vandekerckhove J, Gettemans J. Gelsolin-induced epithelial cell invasion is dependent on Ras-Rac signaling. EMBO J 2002; 21: 6781-6790.
- 85. Fang DC, Luo YH, Yang SM, Li XA, Ling XL, Fang L. Mutation analysis of APC gene in gastric cancer with microsatellite instability. World journal of gastroenterology 2002; 8: 787-791.
- 86. Fang DC, Jass JR, Wang DX. Loss of heterozygosity and loss of expression of the DCC gene in gastric cancer. Journal of clinical pathology 1998; 51: 593-596.
- 87. Hsu PI, Hsieh HL, Lee J, Lin LF, Chen HC, Lu PJ, *et al*. Loss of RUNX3 expression correlates with differentiation, nodal metastasis, and poor prognosis of gastric cancer. Annals of surgical oncology 2009; 16: 1686-1694.
- 88. Ranzani G, Luinetti O, Padovan L, Calistri D, Renault B, Burrel M, *et al*. p53 gene mutations and protein nuclear accumulation are early events in intestinal type gastric cancer but late events in diffuse type. Cancer epidemiology biomarkers & prevention 1995; 4: 223-231.
- 89. Starzynska T, Bromley M, Ghosh A, Stern PL. Prognostic significance of p53 overexpression in gastric and colorectal carcinoma. British journal of cancer 1992; 66: 558-562.
- 90. Khaleghian M, Jahanzad I, Shakoori A, Razavi AE, Azimi C. Association Between Amplification and Expression of C-MYC Gene and Clinicopathological Characteristics of Stomach Cancer. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal 2016; 18: e21221.
- 91. Lee KH, Lee JS, Suh C, Kim SW, Kim SB, Lee JH, *et al*. Clinicopathologic significance of the K-ras gene codon 12 point mutation in stomach cancer. An analysis of 1995; 140: 2794-2801.
- 92. Nakajima M, Sawada H, Yamada Y, Watanabe A, Tatsumi M, Yamashita J, *et al*. The prognostic significance of amplification and overexpression of  $c$  - MET and  $c$  - ERB B - 2 in human gastric carcinomas. Cancer 1999; 85: 1894-1902.
- 93. Ahn S, Lee J, Hong M, Kim ST, Park SH, Choi MG, *et al*. FGFR2 in gastric cancer: protein overexpression predicts gene amplification and high H-index predicts poor survival. Modern Pathology 2016; 29: 1095-1103.
- 94. Toyokawa T, Yashiro M, Hirakawa K. Co-expression of keratinocyte growth factor and K-sam is an independent prognostic factor in gastric carcinoma. Oncology reports 2009; 21: 875-880.
- 95. Oh SY, Kwon HC, Kim SH, Jang JS, Kim MC, Kim KH, *et al*.

Clinicopathologic significance of HIF-1α, p53, and VEGF expression and preoperative serum VEGF level in gastric cancer. BMC cancer 2008; 8: 123.

- 96. Kumari S, Prasad SB, Yadav SS, Kumar M, Khanna A, Dixit V, *et al*. Cyclin D1 and cyclin E2 are differentially expressed in gastric cancer. Medical Oncology 2016; 33: 40.
- 97. Ayhan A, Yasui W, Yokozaki H, Seto M, Ueda R, Tahara E. Loss of Heterozygosity at the bcl‐2 Gene Locus and Expression of bcl - 2 in Human Gastric and Colorectal Carcinomas. Japanese journal of cancer research 1994; 85: 584-591.
- 98. Gryko M, Pryczynicz A, Zareba K, Kędra B, Kemona A, Guzińska-Ustymowicz K. The Expression of Bcl-2 and BID in Gastric Cancer Cells. J Immunol Res 2014; 2014: 953203.
- 99. Lee HK, Lee HS, Yang H-K, Kim WH, Lee KU, Choe KJ, *et al*. Prognostic significance of Bcl-2 and p53 expression in gastric cancer. International Journal of Colorectal Disease 2003; 18: 518-525.
- 100. Zafirellis K, Karameris A, Milingos N, Androulakis G. Molecular markers in gastric cancer: can p53 and bcl-2 protein expressions be used as prognostic factors? Anticancer Res 2005; 25: 3629-3636.
- 101. Zhou YN, Xu CP, Han B, Li M, Qiao L, Fang DC, *et al*.

Expression of E-cadherin and beta-catenin in gastric carcinoma and its correlation with the clinicopathological features and patient survival. World journal of gastroenterology 2002; 8: 987-993.

- 102. Corso G, Carvalho J, Marrelli D, Vindigni C, Carvalho B, Seruca R, *et al*. Somatic mutations and deletions of the E-cadherin gene predict poor survival of patients with gastric cancer. Journal of clinical oncology 2013; 31: 868-875.
- 103. Clements WM, Wang J, Sarnaik A, Kim OJ, MacDonald J, Fenoglio-Preiser C, *et al*. beta-Catenin mutation is a frequent cause of Wnt pathway activation in gastric cancer. Cancer Res 2002; 62: 3503-3506.
- 104. Murray G, Duncan M, Arbuckle E, Melvin W, Fothergill J. Matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in gastric cancer. Gut 1998; 43: 791-797.
- 105. Kaneko T, Konno H, Baba M, Tanaka T, Nakamura S. Urokinase - type plasminogen activator expression correlates with tumor angiogenesis and poor outcome in gastric cancer. Cancer science 2003; 94: 43-49.
- 106. Alpízar‐Alpízar W, Nielsen BS, Sierra R, Illemann M, Ramírez JA, Arias A, *et al*. Urokinase plasminogen activator receptor is expressed in invasive cells in gastric carcinomas from high - and low - risk countries. International Journal of Cancer 2010; 126: 405-415.